

Respondent No: 465 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

**Responded At:** Jul 10, 2018 09:43:04 am **Last Seen:** Jul 10, 2018 09:43:04 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	TRacey
Q2. Last name	Gleeson
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	
Older indigenous vegetation	

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Protection of older trees

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?	
Removal of trees	
Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?  Revegetation of logged areas	
Q20.In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be e timber industry? Why?  No, removal of established should not occur	ffective in managing environmental values and a sustainable
Q21. General comments  We need forest to remain & be allowed to develop over 100's of year without tree removal	
Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1)	not answered
Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2)	not answered
Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3)	not answered