

Respondent No: 28 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 15, 2018 11:54:37 am **Last Seen:** Jun 15, 2018 11:54:37 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	
Q2. Last name	
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Forest user group
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	
not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	
not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes, but anonymous
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	
Keeping the forests open to the public	
Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental	

not answered

values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

I believe locking down the forest and prohibiting public access with registered vehicles and bicycles is an over reaction. This area is beautiful forest and should be kept open for the enjoyment of all.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

not answered

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document not answered

not answered

not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document

2)

3)