



Respondent No: 244

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 01, 2018 11:36:12 am

Last Seen: Jul 01, 2018 11:36:12 am

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Mobile** not answered
- Q5. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Postcode** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Country** Australia
- Q8. **Stakeholder type** Individual
- Q9. **Stakeholder type - Other**
not answered
- Q10. **Stakeholder type - Staff**
not answered
- Q11. **Organisation name** not answered
- Q12. **What is your preferred method of contact?** Email
- Q13. **Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?** Yes
- Q14. **Can the EPA make your submission public?** Yes, but anonymous
- Q15. **Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?** No
- Q16. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?**
all - see below
- Q17. **What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?**
no positive outcome for the environment, all positives for short term provision of timber

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

all negative for the environment, none negative for timber production until all the timber reserves are harvested

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

no comment - the question is ambiguous

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

absolutely not

Q21. General comments

I do not support the new logging laws released on May 14 2018. I strenuously oppose the rezoning of our coastal public forests to allow clear felling and increased logging intensity. I do not approve of the future supply contracts particularly the provision of low quality timber. I do not approve of any timber being used, cut or sold for energy generation. I do not approve of logging stream buffer zones, or decreasing their area.. I do not approve of plans to log previously protected old growth forest. I do not approve of removing pre logging species surveys. I do think our publicly owned old growth/unlogged state forests should be protected. I do think we need and should encourage plantation timber particularly on unproductive pasture lands. I do think the forest corp should be moved back to government ownership. I do think forestry employment could increase under a great koala national park as would income from tourism. I do think that Regional Forest Agreements need to end at the end of the current contract and NOT be renewed in any form.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
