



Respondent No: 447

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 09, 2018 12:02:19 pm

Last Seen: Jul 09, 2018 12:02:19 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	DRUSILLA
Q2. Last name	MEGGET
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	[REDACTED]
Q6. Postcode	[REDACTED]
Q7. Country	not answered
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	not answered
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	not answered
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	Yes
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No

Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?

I worry about the credibility of the IFOA. Scientific independent advice has been ignored. There is no addressing of the problem of following through on high environmental values and logging industry security. This is planning to fail and we may lose precious environment.

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

No positive outcomes when you ignore the Threatened Species Expert Panel Final report and breach social license.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

The report doesn't face the problem that it's not possible to have both management of environmental values with the logging industry as witnessed in our local forests. Your plan will weaken logging rules which will remove protections for threatened species, koalas, old growth, rain forest, waterways. Intensive logging and over-harvesting destroys habitat, carbon sinks, tourism opportunities, provision of clean, abundant water, and other public good. AND logging industry security is not guaranteed.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

I am worried that the "multi-scale protection" plan is just a lot of words. The NSW government lately seems to be full of jargon and buzz words which have no practical good when it comes to supplying the service. It would be lovely to see some conservation of habitat for a change.

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

No. There is not enough forest to answer both environmental values and a sustainable timber industry. This was the determination of the Natural Resources Commission.

Q21. General comments

I am disappointed that this government has bowed to industry pressures and ignored independent scientific advice. A responsible government would take on board an approach that would lead to a far more sustainable future in both environmental and economic outcomes.

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document 1) not answered

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document 2) not answered

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document 3) not answered
