

Respondent No: 486 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 11, 2018 05:54:36 am **Last Seen:** Jul 11, 2018 05:54:36 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First name	Alexander
Q2. Last name	Wall
Q3. Phone	not answered
Q4. Mobile	not answered
Q5. Email	
Q6. Postcode	
Q7. Country	Australia
Q8. Stakeholder type	Individual
Q9. Stakeholder type - Other	
not answered	
Q10. Stakeholder type - Staff	
not answered	
Q11. Organisation name	not answered
Q12. What is your preferred method of contact?	Email
Q13. Would you like to receive further information and updates on IFOA and forestry matters?	No
Q14. Can the EPA make your submission public?	Yes
Q15. Have you previously engaged with the EPA on forestry issues?	No
Q16. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA are most important to you? Why?	

Q17. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a positive outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

not answered

The logging of old growth trees.

Q18. What parts of the draft Coastal IFOA do you think have a negative outcome on the management of environmental values or the production of sustainable timber? Why?

These trees should be protected; they are a fantastic example of Australia's natural beauty. They also notoriously produce a lot of waste when cut down (being left behind in the woods) and don't provide the best yields.

Q19. What are your views on the effectiveness of the combination of permanent environmental protections at the regional, landscape and operational scales (multi-scale protection)?

not answered

Q20. In your opinion, would the draft Coastal IFOA be effective in managing environmental values and a sustainable timber industry? Why?

not answered

Q21. General comments

not answered

Q22. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

1)

Q23. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

2)

Q24. Attach your supporting documents (Document

not answered

3)